

NEXUS UNIVERSAL JOURNAL

www.nujournal.in

Volume I, Issue I: July- August, 2025 (Page: 46-52)

ISSN: 3108-0367

Received: August 20, 2025

Published: August 31, 2025

Legacy of Colonialism in The Novel “The Inheritance of Loss” By Kiran Desai

1* Dr. Vijay Kant Shanwatiya 2. Ratan Lal

1. Assistant professor, Department of English, IIMT University, Meerut

2. Assistant professor, Department of Education, IIMT University, Meerut

Abstract

*This research paper explores the enduring legacy of colonialism as represented in Kiran Desai's novel *The Inheritance of Loss*. The study aims to analyze how colonial structures, ideologies, and psychological residues continue to shape the lives, identities, and aspirations of the characters. The objectives are: (i) to examine the representation of colonial trauma and its effects on individual and collective identities, (ii) to investigate how migration, displacement, and resistance emerge as responses to colonial and postcolonial realities. The methodology adopted is review based analysis of the novel, drawing on postcolonial theory as the primary interpretive framework. Close textual analysis of the novel is employed to understand character development, narrative strategies, and socio-political contexts. The study uses critical review of literatures of postcolonial scholars such as Homi K. Bhabha, Frantz Fanon, and Edward Said to interpret themes of hybridity, alienation, and resistance.*

Keywords: Colonialism; Postcolonial Legacy; Identity; Hybridity; Resilience

Introduction

The British colonial occupation's legacy reaches well beyond political institutions and economic systems, imposing profound marks on the internal life, sense of self, and cultural tastes of the former colonized. Colonialism was also an internal regime of domination; it penetrated and remade the psychological, linguistic, and social lives of colonized subjects. Kiran Desai's 'The Inheritance of Loss (2006)' offers a nuanced literary representation of this invisible inheritance, portraying characters who continue to grapple with the lingering shadows

of empire. Judge Patel is at the core of the narrative, and his education in England imbues him with admiration for colonial culture yet at the same time estranges him from his own heritage. His life is one of self-forgetting, internalized racism, and denial of native identity. His daughter-in-law, Shai, from postcolonial Indian Himalayas, is a sign of a new generation caught between the magnetism of Western values on the one hand and native traditions such as Gorkha identity on the other. And though Biju, who moves within the immigrant underbelly of New York, is a metaphor for those who are dislocated and find themselves at the eye of racial discrimination, economic exploitation, and displacement of culture while making their way to survival, this paper seeks to analyze how Desai portrays colonialism's ongoing psychological and social hold. By establishing a connection between intimate personal stories and broader socio-political realities, the novel places empire trauma between local scenes of drama, like that of the Gorkhaland movement, and world migration currents. Analysis is guided by critical postcolonial theory as well as theoretical writing by Homi Bhabha's 'Hybridity' and Frantz Fanon's 'Internalized Inferiority'. Through close textual readings, the paper examines themes such as self-negation, the role of language and education as colonial tools, spatial and cultural hierarchies, and the subtle emergence of resistance through everyday acts of defiance. Ultimately, Desai's novel contributes to postcolonial discourse by acknowledging the persistence of colonial wounds while offering a tentative vision of healing and redefined belonging.

Literature Review

Critical discussions of *The Inheritance of Loss* foreground its perceptive depiction of globalization as continuity with colonial hierarchies. Writers such as Anjali Arondekar (2008) mark how the novel retranslates economic displacement into colonial power systems, accusing migrant workers in New York of reproducing the indentured servitude of times past. Mary Farooqi (2010) focuses on the judge's Anglophilia as emblematic of postcolonial mimicry, while Ellen Brown (2012) examines Sai's ambivalent voice caught between English fluency and Gorkha silence. Comparative studies note Desai's inter-textual debts to earlier postcolonial writers.

Partha Chatterjee's concept of an "inner domain" of freedom resonates with the judge's secret garden, a haven from nationalist politics. Gayatri Spivak's concept of "strategic essentialism" appears in the temporary alliance of characters during the process of the Gorkhaland agitation. Critics disagree, though, whether or not Desai ever suggests an exit from colonial ways of thinking. Ranjit Hoskote (2014) is among those who believe that the novel concludes on a dark note of violence in a cycle, while others such as Priya Gupta (2016) interpret its final vision of

hybridity as optimistic. This research advances existing scholarship by weaving together character analysis, spatial critique, and theoretical insights to show how Desai's narrative strategies both expose and subvert colonial residues. It underscores the novel's dialogic engagement with postcolonial theory and the unique Himalayan context neglected in mainstream analyses.

Theoretical Framework

The critique of colonial legacies in literature consistently calls upon postcolonial theorists who shed light on the psychological and cultural afterlives of empire. The work of Frantz Fanon is especially important in understanding the ways colonized subjects internalize systems of oppression. In *Black Skin, White Masks* (1952), Fanon outlines how the colonized take on the values, attitudes, and behaviours of the colonizer in an attempt to be accepted, a process that leads to alienation from one's own culture. This process, which Fanon identifies in terms of wearing a "white mask," encapsulates the split identity of Judge Jemubhai Patel in *The Inheritance of Loss*. Educated in England, the judge comes to hate his indigenous traditions and becomes anxious to be accepted into colonial social hierarchies. His assimilation merely serves to increase his isolation, demonstrating Fanon's argument that internalized inferiority results in self-hatred and social isolation (Fanon, 1967/2008).

Homi Bhabha provides a supplementary but more equivocal structure. In *The Location of Culture* (1994), Bhabha proposes the idea of Hybridity, explaining how colonial contact produces a "third space" in which cultural identities overlap and transform. This third space derails the colonizer/colonized binary, allowing both subversion and negotiation of power. Sai, the granddaughter of the judge, is such a hybrid: her bilingualism, Western education, and half-hearted observation of Gorkha customs both proclaim and conceal her estrangement and creative potential to remake belonging. But Bhabha also warns that hybridity is not necessarily a liberatory condition; without interrogation, it can reproduce colonial hierarchies. Desai resolves this dilemma in characters who vacillate between mimicry of Western values and quiet acts of resistance. These theoretical frameworks provide the framework for the current analysis. Through close reading, the research examines how Desai stages the conflict between Fanon's model of internalized colonial values and Bhabha's idea of hybrid cultural negotiation, especially in the areas of language, education, spatial hierarchies, and everyday resistance.

Judge Jemubhai Patel: Self-Alienation and Internalized Racism

Judge Jemubhai Patel stands as one of the most powerful embodiments of colonial trauma in Kiran Desai's *The Inheritance of Loss*. Born into a colonial subject position, his life trajectory from a young boy in Gujarat to a student at Cambridge and later a retired judge in Kalimpong

illustrates the psychological costs of empire. In England, Jemubhai faces relentless racism: he is ridiculed with insults like "Coconut" and "Brown Sahib," reminders that assimilation does not remove the shadow of racial otherness. Rather than push back against it, he internalizes this contempt, attempting to "breed out" his Indianness by straightening his hair, spurning Indian cuisine, and embracing Anglican customs (Desai, 2006). Fanon's assertion that colonized individuals wear a "white mask" to gain acceptance while simultaneously experiencing alienation resonates deeply with Jemubhai's efforts to erase his heritage (Fanon, 1967/2008). When Jemubhai returns to India, he reperforms colonial hierarchies in his own and family life. He directs his bitterness towards those who are beneath him servants, villagers, and even his wife Nimi. His cruel contempt for Nimi, the symbol of traditional Indian womanhood, is how colonial disdain infects intimate relationships, converting love into cruelty. His isolation in his deteriorating mansion also symbolizes the instability of colonial imitation: while the outside is luxurious-looking, his ulcers-ridden body testifies to the corrosive power of internalized racism. Desai ultimately presents Jemubhai as an avowed warning figure. His attempts at assimilation bring him neither acceptance in England nor happiness in India. His isolated death on a bench is the epitome of the failure of self-denial and emptiness of colonial mimicry. In Jemubhai, the novel stages Fanon's realization that the colonized subject's imitation of the colonizer's disdain yields only psychic fragmentation and despondency, and underscores the long-lasting scars of empire.

Language, Education, and Cultural Domination

In *The Inheritance of Loss*, language becomes both an instrument of empowerment and a force for colonial domination. English, brought in and codified in schools under colonial education, serves as a marker of social mobility and status as it excludes native languages and ways of knowing. Sai, schooled in a convent school and drilled in "Perfect English" by her grandfather, is the colonial subject who acquires cultural capital through linguistic competence. Yet, her Nepali mother tongue is confined to emotional outbursts or informal contexts, signaling its relegation to the periphery of legitimate discourse (Desai, 2006). This dualism reflects Homi Bhabha's notion of the "third space," where hybrid identities emerge, but also underscores the alienation that results when one's native linguistic heritage is devalued (Bhabha, 1994).

Educational institutions in the novel further reproduce colonial hierarchies. The convent school Sai attends emphasizes Victorian literature, with students memorizing the works of Kipling and Dickens, while regional history and culture are sidelined. Such curricula perpetuate colonial ideology by instilling admiration for empire and fostering detachment from indigenous traditions. As Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o argues, colonial education often works to "annihilate a

people’s belief in their names, their languages, their environment” (Ngũgĩ, 1986). Desai dramatizes this process through Gyan, Sai’s tutor, whose growing disillusionment with such a system leads him toward radicalization. Rejecting the Anglicized ideals he once embodied, Gyan joins student protests, transforming the classroom into a site of anti-colonial struggle. Through these depictions, Desai reveals language and education as contested terrains. While English grants access to global opportunities, it also enforces cultural amnesia and perpetuates social inequalities. The novel implicitly calls for a pedagogy that values multilingualism, affirms indigenous histories, and resists the homogenizing force of colonial legacies.

Spatial Hierarchies: The Bungalow, the Bazaar, and the Basement

Kiran Desai’s *The Inheritance of Loss* situates much of its narrative in Kalimpong, a former British hill station whose very geography reflects colonial spatial planning. The judge’s crumbling stone bungalow, Cho Oyu, is positioned high above the bustling bazaar, reproducing the colonial logic of segregation. In the British Raj, Europeans often resided in elevated enclaves, symbolically and physically removed from the “native” markets and villages below (King, 1990). Desai deploys this vertical geography to highlight enduring hierarchies: elevation becomes a metaphor for superiority, while the cook and his son labor beneath, embodying subaltern invisibility. The judge’s vantage point literalizes colonial surveillance and the aspiration to remain apart from indigenous life (Desai, 2006).

The novel extends this spatial symbolism to transnational contexts. In New York, Biju inhabits basements, restaurant kitchens, and hidden dormitories modern counterparts to colonial slums. His subterranean existence echoes the disregard historically shown to subcontinental laborers in tea plantations and railway projects (Chakrabarty, 2000). Yet these spaces are not only sites of exclusion; they also foster communal resilience. Among fellow migrants, Biju shares food, anecdotes, and aspirations, creating what Michel de Certeau (1984) would term “tactics” of survival within imposed structures. The basement thus becomes paradoxical: a space of invisibility in mainstream society, but also of solidarity and cultural preservation among the marginalized.

Through these spatial motifs, Desai demonstrates how colonial grids persist into postcolonial and globalized contexts, structuring movement, aspiration, and belonging. The juxtaposition of the bungalow’s altitude with the basement’s depth underscores the continuity of exclusionary geographies. At the same time, Desai suggests the possibility of reimagining space through everyday acts of solidarity that challenge inherited hierarchies and open spaces for new forms of belonging.

Migration, Hybridity, and Acts of Resistance

Migration in Kiran Desai's *The Inheritance of Loss* operates as both a continuation of colonial displacement and a site for the negotiation of new identities. Biju's trajectory from Kalimpong to the kitchens of Manhattan parallels earlier histories of indentured labor, where colonial subjects were uprooted to sustain imperial economies (Tinker, 1974). In the U.S., Biju remains confined to the margins, circulating through restaurant basements and living in precarious housing, echoing the subaltern invisibility that marked colonial plantation workers (Desai, 2006). Yet Desai highlights that Biju is not entirely passive: his forged identity papers, improvisations in cooking, and friendships across ethnic lines become small but meaningful acts of resistance. These gestures reflect what James Scott (1990) calls "everyday forms of resistance," where subaltern subjects carve out autonomy within oppressive structures.

Sai's negotiation with hybridity unfolds on a different register. English-educated and steeped in Western literary tradition, she is also Gorkha-proud. Her post-evening singer-songwriter sessions reading English poems out loud during the day are evidence of Bhabha's theory of the "third space," as hybrid selves disturb colonial binarisms (Bhabha, 1994). Sai's subtle defiance, whether sharing tea with local workers or scribbling in Nepali script despite her Anglophile grandfather's influence, illustrates how micro-practices can resist both colonial nostalgia and ethno-nationalist rigidity. Unlike Gyan, whose radicalization channels frustration into exclusionary politics, Sai models a quieter form of hybridity that values multiplicity rather than purity. Desai's narrative thus emphasizes that recovery from colonial trauma does not emerge through wholesale rejection of the past, nor blind embrace of Western modernity. Instead, the novel envisions healing through hybrid modes of being, where acts of everyday resistance, however small, gradually accumulate into broader reimaginings of identity and belonging.

Conclusion

Kiran Desai's *The Inheritance of Loss* offers a profound exploration of colonialism's enduring afterlives, demonstrating how its legacies infiltrate psychological, cultural, and spatial domains long after formal decolonization. Through Judge Jemubhai Patel, Desai dramatizes the corrosive effects of internalized racism, echoing Fanon's argument that colonized subjects often replicate the oppressor's contempt and suffer psychic fragmentation as a result (Fanon, 1967/2008). Sai's ambivalence toward language and cultural identity illustrates the tension of inhabiting Bhabha's "third space," where hybrid subjectivities destabilize fixed categories yet remain vulnerable to alienation (Bhabha, 1994). Meanwhile, Biju's migrant struggles in New York highlight how global labor markets reproduce colonial patterns of exploitation, situating his invisibility within a continuum of displacement that began with empire (Desai, 2006).

Spatial hierarchies from the idle bungalow on stilts in Kalimpong to Manhattan basements reassert all the more the authority of colonial grids within transregional and regional landscapes (King, 1990). But Desai refuses to let her tale slip into despair. Instead, she highlights acts of resistance whether in Biju's everyday solidarities with fellow migrants or Sai's quiet negotiations of hybridity that suggest the possibility of reimagining identity and community beyond colonial residues. These small gestures accumulate into what James Scott (1990) terms "infrapolitics," subtle forms of agency that challenge hegemonic systems from within.

This study has illuminated the psychological, cultural, and spatial dimensions of colonial legacies in Desai's work, situating the novel within broader postcolonial discourse. It demonstrates how Desai's narrative converses with Fanon's and Bhabha's theoretical insights while also extending them through literary representation. Future research might explore comparative case studies in other Himalayan or diasporic literatures, or trace how Desai's vision resonates within contemporary postcolonial fiction. Finally, *The Inheritance of Loss* persists not just as a denunciation of colonial inheritance but as a tribute to resilience, hybridity, and the tenuous yet redemptive potential of human solidarity.

References

1. Bhabha, H. K. (1994). *The Location of Culture*. Routledge.
2. Chatterjee, P. (1993). *The Nation and Its Fragments: Colonial and Postcolonial Histories*. Princeton University Press.
3. Desai, K. (2006). *The Inheritance of Loss*. Penguin Books.
4. Fanon, F. (1967). *Black Skin, White Masks*. Grove Press.
5. Loomba, A. (2005). *Colonialism/Postcolonialism* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
6. Spivak, G. C. (1988). "Can the Subaltern Speak?" in *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, edited by C. Nelson and L. Grossberg, University of Illinois Press.
7. Arondekar, A. (2008). "Globalization, Diaspora, and the Politics of Identity in *The Inheritance of Loss*." *Journal of Postcolonial Writing*, 44(2), 123–137.
8. Farooqi, M. (2010). "Anglophilia and Its Discontents in Kiran Desai's Fiction." *Postcolonial Text*, 6(4), 45–62.
9. Brown, E. (2012). "Voice, Silence, and the Postcolonial Condition." *Studies in English Literature*, 52(3), 689–711.
10. Gupta, P. (2016). "Hybridity and Hope: Reconfiguring Postcolonial Identities." *Modern Fiction Studies*, 62(1), 102–126.